How to cite this article: Mokhtari S, Toumaj J, Hezaveie MR, Maleki D, Faghani M. The Effect of Neuropathic Exercise on HbA1c Value in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus 2023;15(4):68-73.

ABSTRACT

Neurofibromatosis (NF) is an autosomal-dominant inherited syndrome with teeth retention and complex tooth deficiencies which can affect swallowing, speech, esthetic, and airway patency. That’s where the rehabilitation of structures, functions, esthetics deformities, and life quality with different prosthetic choices should be considered. The present literature review inspected the prosthetic treatment plans to rehabilitate NF type 1 after hemi-mandibulectomy. By an extensive literatures search, some articles were chosen. The prosthetic treatment options for dental reconstruction of the hemi-mandibulectomy can be conventional removable prostheses, implant-supported fixed protheses, and implant-supported removable protheses. Ultimately, choosing among prosthetic treatment plans is made based on clinician opinion, patient preference, and ridge condition in each case.
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INTRODUCTION

A neurocutaneous disease called neurofibromatosis (NF) is an autosomal-dominant inherited syndrome with three different types.1,2 NF1 occurs almost 20 times more than NF2.3,4 NF1 discovered by Von Recklinghausen3,5 shows symptoms such as café-au-lait macules, Lisch nodules of the iris, and interriginous freckling.6-8 Different malformations and tumors manifest with this syndrome however, neurofibroma, the benign nerve sheath tumor is more common among them.9,10

NF1 can act as a bone disease and cause jaw deformity if the plexiform of neurofibroma cells (PNF) are involved.11 This jaw deformity can cause significant problems in the dental procedure and surgical approach.12 Also, in the facial region, tumors can disfigure the soft tissue extensively, usually unilateral.13,14 Teeth retention and complex tooth deficiencies are the syndrome’s oral manifestation.13,15

NF2, in contrast to NF1, is characterized by bilateral schwannoma involvement of the superior vestibular branch of the eighth cranial nerve and it doesn’t have oral features.16 That’s why NF2 wasn’t included in this review.

To treat the neurofibroma tumor, still, no significant approach is introduced. Surgical
removal is the gold standard for treatment plan. However, it can affect swallowing, speech, esthetic, and airway patency. This is the point where the rehabilitation of structures, functions, esthetics deformities, and life quality with different prosthetic choices is brought out. The present literature review inspected the prosthetic treatment plans to rehabilitate five cases of NF type 1 which went through hemi-mandibulectomy.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
An extensive literature search had been carried out by combing through the Embase, Medline, and PubMed databases.

Inclusion criteria were met if (1) abstract topic related to NF1 and prosthetic rehabilitation; (2) article was in English language; and (3) full-text article was available.

Records were then excluded if one of the following criteria pertained: (1) inadequate patients’ information was provided (age, sex, type of NF, jaw lesion location, clinical and radiographic findings); (2) no oral involvement was mentioned. The collected data organized and analyzed. Table 1 presenting the data of the investigated 5 cases.

FINDING
The study was based on 5 patients, 3 females and 2 males. The youngest case was 21 years old and the oldest was 65 years old. Based on the location of the jaw lesions, all cases were in the mandible along with maxillary lesions in 4 cases. (Table1)

The Clinical, radiographic, and pathological characteristics were evaluated and listed on table 2.

The radiographic appearance was radiolucent in all cases. When the effect of tumors on the mandibular canal was assessed, the enlargement of the canal was seen in Abrah an et al , Schneider et al , and Kokovic et al case reports and the involvement of canal was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>sex</th>
<th>Lesion location</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis of NF 1</th>
<th>Familial history</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Maxilla: In right maxillary sinus • Mandible: All over the arch</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Tosios et al 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Maxilla: In the left side • Mandible: In the left side</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Schneider et al 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left posterior part of the mandible crossing the midline</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Narang et al 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Maxilla: All over the arch • Mandible: All over the arch</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Abrahan et al 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Maxilla: In the right and left sides (not anterior portion) • Mandible: All over the arch</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Kokovic et al 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stated in Narang et al study. (21) However, in Tosios et al study the mandibular canal and mental foramen were not identifiable. (19) Teeth displacement was observed on Narang et al case report. One of the patient suffered from left hemi-facial paralysis due to the tumor. (20)

The surgical procedure and prosthesis treatment plan in these articles were listed in table 3. As can be seen, different cases have

| Table 2: Clinical, radiographic, and pathological characteristics of the studied cases. |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1  | Intra oral clinical assessment | • Maxilla: Well-defined brown tumors in labial, Palatal expansion  
• Mandible: An indurated, yellow-colored mass extended from the alveolar ridge to the floor of the mouth | Tosios et al 2018<sup>19</sup> |
|     | Radiographic assessment (Panoramic view and CBCT) | • Maxilla: A radiolucency with indiscrete borders  
• Mandible: Poorly defined, multilocular radiolucencies all over the arch | |
|     | Pathological assessment | • Not mentioned | |
| 2  | Intra oral clinical assessment | • Maxilla: Narrow and deformed ridge, hyper plastic soft tissue  
• Mandible: Narrow and deformed ridge, hyper plastic soft tissue | Schneider et al 2017 (20) |
|     | Radiographic assessment (Panoramic view) | • Maxilla: A radiolucency.  
• Mandible: A radiolucency, shortening of the ramus, narrowing of the mandible body, and rarefaction of the coronoid and articular process. | |
|     | Pathological assessment | • Not mentioned | |
| 3  | Intra oral clinical assessment | • Irregular shaped swelling with firm consistency from the left third molar region to the central incisor of the opposite side crossing the midline. | Narang et al 2017<sup>21</sup> |
|     | Radiographic assessment  
• (Panoramic view) | • Irregular radiolucency, teeth displacement, and teeth missing | |
|     | Pathological assessment | • Hyper cellular connective tissue stroma consisting of spindle shaped cells, with wavy nuclei arranged in fascicular and storiform patterns | |
| 4  | Clinical assessment | • Multiple soft nodular masses on the tongue, maxillary and mandible edentulous arches | Abrahan et al 2016<sup>22</sup> |
|     | Radiographic assessment  
• (Panoramic view) | • Enlargement of the mandibular canal | |
| 5  | Clinical assessment | • Maxilla: Narrow residual ridge on left and right side (without involvement of anterior portion), buccal soft tissue of the right cheek  
• Mandible: Narrow residual ridge | Kokovic et al 2012<sup>23</sup> |
|     | Radiographic assessment  
• (Panoramic view and CT) | • Maxilla: Increase in bone density  
• Mandible: Lateral bowing of the mandibular ramus, increase in dimensions of the coronoid notch, and a decrease in the mandibular angle | |
|     | Pathological assessment | • Not mentioned | |
Implant-supported removable prostheses are of great advantages in terms of improvement of mastication, speaking ability, and quality of life.\(^{(30)(31)}\) Also, implant-supported overdentures will create pink interdental papilla better than implant-supported fixed prostheses\(^{(32)(33)(34)}\) and as well, they have flanges to rehabilitate the supportless soft tissue.\(^{(30)(34)}\) In deviated mandible cases where the forces on implants are angled, and in cases with the restricted mouth opening, removable prostheses perform better.\(^{30}\)

To avoid peri-implantitis, in patients with poor oral hygiene, implant-supported removable prostheses have been mostly indicated because it’s easy to keep them clean.\(^{26,30}\)

It is worth noting that, implant-supported removable prostheses offer very significant improvement for patients with systemic-diseases because of the fewer implants required compared to implant-supported fixed prostheses.\(^{30,35}\) Only in one of the studied cases, implant-supported removable prostheses were delivered.\(^{23}\)

**DISCUSSION**

The prosthetic treatment options for dental reconstruction of the hemi-mandibulectomy can be conventional removable prostheses, implant-supported fixed protheses, and implant-supported removable protheses.

Conventional prostheses may not be appropriate\(^{(24)(25)}\) because they are incompatible with excessive soft tissue contour and defective bone morphology.\(^{(24)}\) this is where implant-supported protheses are more welcomed\(^{26,27}\) However, in two studied case reports, the conventional removable prostheses were delivered\(^{19,22}\)

Despite the long-term success of the implant-supported fixed protheses, the placement of sufficient numbers of implants can be restricted by severe bone resorption and financial limitation.\(^{(28)(29)}\) In these conditions, implant-supported removable prostheses become bold.\(^{30}\)

**Table 3: Surgical procedure and prosthetic treatment plan of the studied cases.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
<th>Prosthetic treatment plan</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Was not performed due to patient desire.</td>
<td>• Maxilla: Tissue-supported removable complete denture</td>
<td>Patient refused.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mandible: Tissue-supported removable complete denture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Hemi-mandibulectomy to remove the lesion and impacted teeth.</td>
<td>• Maxilla: Screw-retained implant-supported fixed partial prothesis</td>
<td>Several years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mandible: Screw-retained implant-supported fixed partial prothesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Left hemi-mandibulectomy along with the dissection of supra-omohyoid lymph nodes</td>
<td>• Mandible: Implant-supported fixed partial prothesis</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Hemi-mandibulectomy was performed before referring.</td>
<td>• Maxilla: Tissue-supported removable complete denture</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mandible: Tissue-supported removable complete denture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Hemi-mandibulectomy was performed before referring.</td>
<td>• Maxilla: Implant-supported removable partial prothesis</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mandible: Implant-supported removable complete denture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Along with the dental reconstruction, the reconstruction of the resected bone is important. The jaw reconstruction is a challenging approach which can be more complicated if the fibrosis and scarring of soft and hard tissue happen due to delayed reconstruction. A sound and healthy bone graft with a titanium reconstruction plate is an ideal choice to restore the segmented mandible. In none of the cases reviewed in this manuscript, the bone reconstruction were conducted.

CONCLUSION
Ultimately, choosing among prosthetic treatment plans is made based on clinician opinion, patient preference, and ridge condition in each case. This statement is of great importance in hemi-mandibulectomy cases where the rehabilitation approaches are more challenging.
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